REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Communication of December 29, 2005 indicated the response filed October 20, 2005 was non-responsive as "the reply fails to present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render newly presented claims 22 and 23 patentable over the applied references".

In reply to that Communication, applicants note claims 22 and 23 are dependent claims that depend on respective independent claims 9 and 15. Applicants previously presented arguments as to why independent claims 9 and 15 distinguish over the applied art, and applicants note claims 22 and 23 are allowable for at least those reasons.

Applicants respectfully believe the reply filed October 20, 2005 was in fact fully responsive, and applicants now respectfully request further prosecution on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04) Registration No. 28,870 Surinder Sachar Registration No. 34,423

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Attorneys of Record

I:\aTTY\SNS\21's\217636\217636US-Response.doc